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Abstract

A field experiment was conducted to monitor the flight activities of the worker bees of *Apis mellifera syriaca* and their impact on pollination of watermelon *Citrullus lanatus* as affected by the growing area elevations. The experiment composed of three treatments, the control (covered plants); in which the plants were caged before flowering to prevent any contact with insect pollinators, the second treatment the plants were left opened to permit contact with honeybees (uncovered plants) and the third treatment the plants were supplementary pollinated with pollen from other plant (hand pollination). The results of this experiment showed that the viability of female flower stigmas lasted mostly to the early hours of the day at 11:00 am for flowers produced in Madaba (780 m above sea level), and lasted to the afternoon of the day at 14:00 pm for flowers produced in Wadi Araba, Al Safi village (350 m below sea level). In general fruit set, seed set, number of fertile seeds, fruit weight, and fruit sugar content were significantly higher in uncovered followed by supplementary pollinated then covered plants while fruit length and fruit circumference were significantly higher in uncovered followed by covered and finally supplementary pollinated plants. Furthermore, fruit set, seed set, fruit weight, fruit circumference, and fruit total soluble solids percentage was significantly higher in plants grown above sea level than below sea level. Honey bees play an important role in the production of high quality and quantity of watermelon fruits for plants grown above or below sea level.
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INTRODUCTION

Watermelon (*Citrullus lanatus*, Cucurbitaceae) is an annual vining vegetable crop belongs to Cucurbitaceae family (Davis and Meinert 1965). It is one of the most common types of melon in Jordan. Watermelon planted during winter in the Jordan valley and during summer in the high lands and desert areas under open field irrigated conditions. Most vine crops produce both staminate and pistillate flowers separately on the same plant, the plants are referred to as being monoecious. Flowers of watermelon are staminate (male), perfect (hermaphroditic), or pistillate (female). Pistillate flowers have an inferior ovary, and the size and shape of the ovary is correlated with final fruit size and shape (Rubatzky and Yamaguchi, 1997). In many varieties, the pistillate or perfect flowers are born at every seventh node, with staminate flowers at the intervening nodes. However, the hermaphrodite flowers of watermelon do not pollinate themselves adequately without the aid of bees.

Using of honeybees in pollination of melons in commercial fields is well known and valued method (Bohart, 1970) Because honeybees can perform well...
under diverse climatic conditions and multiplying their numbers in relatively short time; the colonies can be sited when and where its needed to satisfy pollination requirements (Mann, 1953). Cucurbit vegetable crops, such as watermelon require insect pollination for fruit set, which is usually achieved by placing honeybee (Apis mellifera) colonies in the field. Bees forage on cucurbit flowers for nectar and pollen grains that picked up from staminate flowers on the body hairs and rubbing off during successive visits to pistillate flowers (Mussen and Thorp, 1997).

Many researches concentrated on the significant of using local race of honey bees Apis mellifera as pollinators in triploid watermelon (Stanghellini et al., 1998). Alan (2005a b) reported that the honeybees Apis mellifera races are important pollinators of triploid watermelon and female flowers require multiple honeybees or other wild bees visitation after visiting staminate (or male) flowers for pollination and fruit set and pollination. Also, increased number of bee visits for triploid watermelon had a strong positive effect on fruit set and yield (Stanghellini et al., 1998). Early pollination and subsequent fruit set will give rise to early harvesting and thus premium prices, particularly for the earlier melons cultivars. Melons produced from insect pollinated fields tended to be sweeter, larger and more uniform in size comparing with covered plants of no insect pollinators (Bodnar, 1987).

The roles of local race of honeybees Apis mellifera syriaca as pollinators for watermelon production under semi-arid Mediterranean condition in Jordan are not yet evaluated. Apis mellifera syriaca, is a native bee in the east of Mediterranean region and one of the oldest honeybee races (Rutner, 1988) and well adaptive to the local semi-arid environmental conditions (Al-ghzawi et al., 2001; Zaitoun 2000; Zaitoun and Vorwohl, 2003). It has the capability to pollinate different flowers of fruit trees, medical plants and vegetable crops grown in Jordan (Zaitoun and Al-ghzawi, 2007; Zaitoun et al. 2008; Al-ghzawi et al., 2009 a b c; Zaitoun et al. 2009; Al-ghzawi et al., 2014 a b). Therefore; the main objectives of this study were to investigate the role of Syrian honeybees in pollination of watermelons grown under two different elevations at 780m above sea level and at the lowest point of the world near the Dead Sea in Jordan. The role of the bees will be evaluated against covered treatment and hand pollinated treatment. Moreover different fruit and seed quality characteristics will be used as parameters for pollination efficiency and success.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Site description**

This experiment was conducted during 2012/2013 growing seasons at two different locations. The first one located in Madaba, (31°43" N, 35°48" E with 780 m above sea level). It characterized by semi-arid Mediterranean condition with of mild rainy (225 mm) winters and dry hot summers. The second one located in Wadi Araba, Al Safi village near the Dead the lowest point in the world (31°32" N, 35°28" E with 350 m below sea level). As an average of the last 10 years, the area has a mild winters with a mean temperature of the coldest month (December) around 11°C, warm summers with a mean warmest month temperature (July) around 42°C. The rain period mainly during November to March months and the mean annual precipitation is 70 mm.

**Bee colonies**

Four hives of Apis mellifera syriaca were introduced and placed at the field border at the beginning of the flowering stage. Each hive was established in a standard one-story with 10- frame Langstroth hive. The hives have similar number of worker bees, brood combs, stored food, and supplied continuously with water and protected from sunlight. All management practices including feeding, supering and swarm prevention was carried out. The same hives were used in both locations, that they were moved to the first location under sea level in October 2012 and migrated to the second location in April 2013.

**Experimental plant**

A commercial watermelon hybrid (Samara f1/SAKATA) was used in these experiments. Seeds were planted in trays containing peat moss in 1/11/2012, for planting under sea level, and 1/3/2013 for planting above sea level. In each location, three raised beds (blocks) were prepared and mulched with polyethylene plastic mulch after drip irrigation system has been installed. When transplants
were reached the first true leaf stage, twelve transplants were transplanted into each treatment with 120 cm between plants.

**Pollination treatments**

For the pollination studies, three beds were randomly selected. Each bed contain twelve plants, it was divided into three pollination treatments: the control (covered plants); in which the plants were caged before flowering to prevent any contact with insect pollinators, the second treatment the plants were left opened to permit contact with honeybees (uncovered plants) and the third treatment the plants were supplementary pollinated with pollen from other plant (hand pollination). As usually, each plant has one fruit.

**Stigma receptivity**

To determine at which developmental stage flowers had receptive stigmata, 3% hydrogen peroxide was placed on the stigma of flowers. Bubbles are produced when the mucus exuded by a receptive stigma contacts hydrogen peroxide (Dafni, 1992). Stigma viability was tested during pollination period by randomly a signing twenty four pistilate flowers from each covered and uncovered sub plot. Twelve flowers of from each treatment were freshly picked at half open growth stage at different time intervals within day, 8:00, 11:00, 14:00 and 17:00 o’clock. The other twelve flowers were picked the next day at opened stage on same previously assigned time of the day. A small drop of 3% hydrogen peroxide was applied to stigma which placed under dissecting microscope (Olympus optical company, Japan), waiting few minutes for the presence of a bubbling action as an indication of stigma viability.

**Data recording**

Fruits were harvested at August 2013 for first location and at April 2013 for the second location. Fruits length, circumference and weight for all treatments were recorded. Moreover, total numbers of seeds, fertile seeds and sterile seeds were recorded. Sugar content (“Brix) was estimated by refractometer (Fernando G., Own work).

**Statistical analyses**

This experiment was planned as Split-plot Randomized Complete Design (RCBD) with four-replications. The locations were assigned to main plots and the pollination treatments were assigned as subplots. Data were analyzed by analysis of variance (ANOVA) using SAS. Least Significant Differences (LSD) was used to comparisons between means of locations, treatments and interaction at 0.05 probability level.

**RESULTS**

In both experiments, the pollination treatments x locations interactions were significant at (P≤ 0.05). The main effect of pollination treatments and locations were significant for all fruit parameters shown in Table 1 and Table 2.

Location1 (Madaba, 780m above sea level) recorded higher average values with significant difference compared to Location2 (Wadi Araba, Al Safi village near the Dead Sea the lowest point in the world). Thus, in location1 the average fruit length (44 cm) was higher than that for plants grown in location2 (30.0 cm).

Concerning pollination treatments; fruits grown under open pollination were the longest (62 cm and 40 cm), followed by cover treatment (40 cm and 30cm) and hand pollination (30 cm and 20cm) for location 1 and location2 respectively. Furthermore, fruit diameter in location1 (37 cm) were superior to that grown in location2 (20.3 cm).

Regarding pollination treatments open pollinated plants had the highest fruit diameter (37 cm and26 cm) followed by fruit under cover treatment (32 cm and 19), fruit diameter were the lowest when plants were hand pollinated (28 cm and 16cm) for location1 and location2 respectively.

Significant differences were recorded for fruit weight at (P≤ 0.05) among location and pollination treatments (Table 1) Fruit weight in location1 (7.4kg) were higher than that grown in location2 (4.3kg). Moreover, the pollination treatments x locations interaction were also significant at (P≤ 0.05). Furthermore, the cover treatment fruit weight recorded the lowest values (3 kg and 1.2 kg).
compared with the hand pollination treatment (4.4 kg and 7.4 kg) and open pollination recorded the highest values (10.2 kg and 7.4 kg) for location 1 and location 2 respectively.

Sugar content was also affected significantly by location and pollination treatments, fruits grown in location 1 had higher sugar content value (13.6 °Brix) than that grown in location 2 (7.1 °Brix). In addition, fruit grown under open pollination treatment had higher sugar content (19 and 10 °Brix) followed by hand pollination (14 and 7 °Brix) and the least was cover treatment (8 and 4.5 °Brix) for location 1 and location 2 respectively.

Table 2 shows significant difference in all seeds parameters between pollination treatments and locations at (P ≤ 0.05), pollination treatments x locations interaction were significant in the two experiments. Fruit grown in location 1 produced higher seeds number per fruit (268 seeds) than that grown in location 2 (98.3 seeds). Fruit grown under open pollination produced (313) seeds per fruit, followed by hand pollination (142) seeds per fruit and fruit under cover treatment (94) seeds per fruit.

Moreover, in location 1 fruit produced in average (220 fertile seed per fruit) higher than that grown in location 2 (74.3 fertile seeds per fruit). Also, fruit grown under open pollination had produced higher fertile seeds per fruit (294), followed by hand pollination (124.5) and cover treatment (23.5). Moreover, fruit grown in location 1 produced (47 sterile seeds per fruit) higher than that grown in location 2 (24 sterile seeds per fruit) and cover treatment produced higher sterile seeds per fruit than other pollination treatments.

The results showed that the viability of female flower stigmas lasted mostly to the early hours of the day at 11:00 am for flowers produced in Madaba (780 m above sea level), and lasted to the afternoon of the day at 14:00 pm for flowers produced in Wadi Araba, Al Safi village (350 m below sea level).

DISCUSSION

The present experiments proved that Apis mellifera syriaca is efficient pollinator of Citrullus lanatus. Watermelon like other Cucurbitaceae requires insect vectors such as honeybees to increase fruit set and yield quality. This result agrees with many researchers who found that honeybees are important pollinator of triploid watermelon (Alan, 2005a b; Stanghellini et al., 1998) and of muskmelon (Al-Ghzawi et al., 2007; Bohn and Mann, 1960). Honeybees increase yield mainly by increasing fruit number, fruit set and decreasing abortion rate (Alan, 2005 a b; Stanghellini et al., 1998). Alan (2005 a) reported that the increase in fruit set rate by honeybees under open pollination treatment 80% compared with plants under cover treatment, this result may be attributed to presence of honeybees lead to decrease abortion rate in watermelon. Moreover, the same result was recorded in other cucurbitaceous like muskmelon (Al-Ghzawi et al., 2007; Bohn and Mann 1960).

In addition, this study showed that elevation seems to play role in pollination process success; fruit length, circumference, weight, number of seeds per fruit, number of fertile seeds per fruit and fruit sugar content were higher at 780 m above sea level (location 1) compared to the second location at the lowest point of the world (location 2). Since studies about elevation effect on pollination is rare, it's difficult to compare our results with others. Further work should be done to explore pollination elevation relation.

Fruit length and fruit circumference were higher in open pollination treatment followed by covered treatment and the least were recorded in hand pollination treatment in both elevations. But, fruit weight, number of seeds per fruit, number of fertile seeds per fruit and fruit sugar content were the highest under open treatment followed by hand pollination treatment and then cover treatment. Sterile
seeds recorded the highest value in the cover treatment and the least in the open treatment. These results in agreement with other researchers, Alan (2005 a) and Stanghellini et al. (1998) they reported that the fruit weight and number increased under open pollination than that under low or no visitation, also lead to increase fruit yield per hectare. Bodnar (1987) reported that muskmelons produced from insect pollinated fields tended to be sweeter, larger and more uniform in size comparing with covered plants of no insect pollinators. Stanghellini et al. (1998) detected high positive correlation between seed number and fruit size of muskmelon. Also, strong correlation between presence of honeybees and muskmelon yield were registered (Al-Ghzawi et al.; 2007; Bohn and Mann 1960). These results may attributed to efficient pollinators (honeybees) leading to large number of pollen grains transfer to stigmas of pistillate flowers causing high percentage of fruit setting (Bodnar, 1987), or result of increases in growth and development in the ovary then increase in fruit size after fertilization (Rubatzky and Yamaguchi, 1997).

In this study fruit weight was higher in uncovered fruits than covered plants with significant differences. This proves again the positive pollination effects of honeybees. It is known that Increasing in fruit size related usually to fertilization, and sometimes to pollination alone, by stimulating the floral parts causing a rapid cell division that leads to differentiation of fruit parts, and trigger fruit growth by hormone production (McGregor S. E. 1976). Other factor play a role in the size and weight of fruit are the size and number of seeds produced in the fruit. Reduction of seed size and number reduces fruit size (Mizrahi et al., 1997) Also; Low fruit weight compared to the large fruit size in cover treatment of this study can be explained on the base of poor seed set as discussed by Jones et al. (1998).

In addition, sugar content was affected by pollination treatments, i.e. sugar content was higher under open pollination treatment then hand pollination treatment compared to cover treatment this agrees with findings in other cucurbits; Bodnar (1987) reported that muskmelon produced from insect pollinated fields tended to be sweeter, larger and more uniform in size comparing with covered plants of no insect pollination. Denney (1992) mentioned that the taste qualities and soluble solids accumulation that contain mainly sugars may be explained by seed – controlled hormonal level

In conclusion, honeybees Apis mellifera syriaca and elevation from sea level are playing significant role in pollination and yield of watermelon grown under semiarid conditions, this role should be enhanced to ensure higher visitation rates to watermelon flowers. Studies concerning pollination of watermelon and factors affecting it are very scarce. Further studies should be made to explore the role of honeybees in pollination of Citrullus lanatus in order to get high quantity and quality yield.
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APPENDIX

Table 1: Length, diameter, weight and 0Brix of watermelon fruit under all pollination treatments.

† 1, 2, 3. Fisher’s Least Significantly Difference to compare between location means, treatment means, or treatment x location interaction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Length cm</th>
<th>Diameter cm</th>
<th>Weight kg</th>
<th>0Brix</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>62a</td>
<td>37a</td>
<td>13.0a</td>
<td>19.0a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cover</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>40b</td>
<td>32b</td>
<td>3.0c</td>
<td>8.0c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hand pollination</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30c</td>
<td>28c</td>
<td>6.2b</td>
<td>14.0b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>40a</td>
<td>26a</td>
<td>7.4a</td>
<td>10.0a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cover</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30b</td>
<td>19b</td>
<td>1.2c</td>
<td>4.5c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hand pollination</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20c</td>
<td>16c</td>
<td>4.4b</td>
<td>7.0b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main effects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>44a</td>
<td>32a</td>
<td>7.4a</td>
<td>13.6a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30b</td>
<td>19b</td>
<td>4.3b</td>
<td>7.1b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>51a</td>
<td>31a</td>
<td>10.2a</td>
<td>14.5a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cover</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>35b</td>
<td>25b</td>
<td>2.1c</td>
<td>6.3c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hand pollination</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25c</td>
<td>21c</td>
<td>5.3b</td>
<td>10.5b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSD (0.05)¹</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSD (0.05)²</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSD (0.05)³</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: Effects of pollination treatments on seeds of watermelon grown at two different locations and as affected by pollination treatments.

† 1, 2,3. Fisher’s Least Significantly Difference to compare between location means, treatment means, or treatment x location interaction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Seeds number</td>
<td>Fertile seeds</td>
<td>Unfertile seeds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location 1</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>471.0</td>
<td>451.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hand pollination</td>
<td>193.0</td>
<td>174.0</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cover</td>
<td>138.0</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>102.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location 2</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>155.0</td>
<td>137.0</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hand pollination</td>
<td>91.0</td>
<td>75.0</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cover</td>
<td>49.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main effects</td>
<td>Location 1</td>
<td>268.0</td>
<td>220.3</td>
<td>47.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Location 2</td>
<td>98.3</td>
<td>74.3</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>313.0</td>
<td>294.0</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hand pollination</td>
<td>142.5</td>
<td>124.5</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cover</td>
<td>94.0</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSD (0.05)¹</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSD (0.05)²</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSD (0.05)³</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>